



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/612,350	07/06/2000	Ronald O'Neal Edmark	AUS000057US1	7192
759	90 06/03/2003			
Duke W Yee			EXAMINER	
Carstens Yee & P O Box 802334			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
Dallas, TX 753	380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	· ·		2122	2
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>*</b>			PR			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/612,350	EDMARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chuck O Kendall	2122				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	rply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communicati  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 J</u>	<u>luly 2000</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under			s is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept		ne Fyaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioapplication from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-•			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						



Art Unit: 2122

## DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed 07/06/00.
- 2. Claims 1-28 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Berry et al. USPN 6,539,339 B1.

Regarding claim 1 Berry anticipates, monitoring performance of a program being executed using per thread metric variables with reused kernel threads comprising:

receiving an event indication (3:35-40);

ascertaining kernel thread level profile information(3:40-47);

identifying a kernel thread, wherein the kernel thread level profile information is attributed to the identified kernel thread (3:40-47);;

determining whether the identified kernel thread has been reused

(17:3-5, see recursion depth for reuse); and

updating profile information with the kernel thread level profile information based whether the identified kernel thread has been reused (fig, 22, 2216).

Application/Control Number: 09/612,350

Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 2 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein determining whether the identified kernel thread has been reused further comprises:

checking a hash table for an entry of the identified kernel thread (10:55-57).

Regarding claim 3 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein updating profile information with the kernel thread level profile information based on the identified kernel thread is reused further comprises:

applying the kernel thread level profile information for the reused identified kernel thread to one of a previous application thread and a new application thread (fig. 22, 2216).

Regarding claim 4 the method recited in claim 2 above, wherein updating profile information with the kernel thread level profile information based on the identified kernel thread is reused further comprises:

applying the kernel thread level profile information for the reused identified kernel thread to one of a previous application thread and a new application thread (fig, 22, 2216);

marking the previous application thread being terminated (fig 23,2302); and associating the reused identified kernel thread with the new application thread in the hash table(fig 23,2306, and refer back to10:55-57, for hash table).

Regarding claim 5 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein the profile information is stored in a node for a application thread which is associated with the kernel thread level profile information (23:57-60).

Regarding claim 6 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein ascertaining kernel thread level profile information further comprises:

sending a request for kernel thread level profile information to an operating system kernel, wherein the operating system kernel responds to the request by (3:35-40, 10:35-45): receiving the request for kernel thread level profile information (10:35-45);

accessing a processor data area containing processor level accumulated profile information (10:40-55, see collected area);

calculating a change in processor level accumulated profile information (15:20-40,55-60, for processor level see elapsed, cum, and base times, also see processor time spent from 55-60);

Application/Control Number: 09/612,350

Art Unit: 2122

accessing kernel thread level profile information held by the operating system kernel (16:25-30, see timing information for profile information); updating kernel thread level profile information held by the operating system kernel with the change in processor level accumulated profile information (15:20-40,55-60,16:25-30); and

sending the kernel thread level profile information held by the operating system kernel to a requestor (23:53-55).

Regarding claim 7 the method recited in claim 5 above further comprises:

resetting the kernel thread level profile information held by the operating system kernel (25:1-4).

Regarding claim 8 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein updating profile information with the kernel thread level profile information based whether the identified kernel thread has been reused further comprises:

updating an information area for a current application thread based on the identified kernel thread having not been reused (17:3-5, see recursion depth for reuse).

Regarding claim 9 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein updating profile information with the kernel thread level profile information based whether the identified kernel thread has been reused further comprises: updating an information area for a previous application thread based on the identified kernel thread having been reused (17:3-5, see recursion depth for reuse, 17:3-5).

Regarding claim 10 the method recited in claim 1 above, wherein updating profile information with the kernel thread level profile information based whether the identified kernel thread has been reused further comprises:

accessing an information area for a application thread based on the identified kernel thread(10:15-20);

updating an information area for the application thread.

Regarding claim 11 the method recited in claim 3 above, wherein the application is a Java application (7:10-15).

Regarding claim 12 see reasoning in claim 1.

Regarding claim 13 the method recited in claim 12 above, wherein the application thread is a previous application thread based on the kernel thread having been used (fig 23, 2302).

Regarding claim 14 the method recited in claim 13 above, further comprises:



Art Unit: 2122

identifying the application thread as being terminated based on the kernel thread having been used.

Regarding claim 15 see claim 4 for reasoning.

Regarding claim 16 the method recited in claim 12 above, wherein determining if the kernel thread has been used further comprises: comparing an identity of the kernel thread to a list of identities of previously used kernel threads (fig 23, 2306).

Regarding claim 17 the method recited in claim 12 above, wherein the value of a metric variable for a kernel thread is a change in value of the metric variable since the last receipt of the metric variable for the kernel thread (fig 23,2312).

Regarding claim 18 the method recited in claim 12 above, wherein the metric variable relates to one of allocation bytes, allocation objects, time, live object and live bytes (for allocation bytes see bytes in claim 1).

Regarding claim 19 see claim 1 for reasoning.

Regarding claim 20 the method recited in claim 19 above, wherein the plurality of values of a metric variables for a plurality of kernel threads are received from an operating system kernel wherein each of the plurality of values was contained in a linked list of entries, each entry in the linked list being a value of a metric variable for a specific kernel thread (23:55-60, see link list).

Regarding claim 21, see claim 1 for reasoning.

Regarding claim 22 the system recited in claim 21 above, wherein the application thread is a previous application thread based on the kernel thread having been used (fig 23,2302).

Regarding claim 23 see reasoning in claim 4.

Regarding claim 24 the system recited in claim 21 above, wherein the application thread is a current application thread based on the kernel thread having not been used (fig 23,2302).

Regarding claim 25 the system recited in claim 21 above, wherein the determining means for determining if the kernel thread has been used further comprises:

comparing means for comparing an identity of the kernel thread to a list: of identities of previously used kernel threads (fig 23,2306, see computing difference for comparing).

Regarding claim 26. The system recited in claim 21 above, wherein the value of a metric variable for a kernel thread is a change in value of the metric variable since the last receipt of the metric variable for the kernel thread (fig 23, 2312).

Regarding claim 27 see reasoning in claim 18.



Art Unit: 2122

Regarding claim 28 see claim 1 for reasoning.

## Correspondence Information

6. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Greg Morse can be* reached at (703) 308-4789.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to 703-7467239 official and 703-7467240 draft

Chuck O. Kendall

Software Engineer Patent Examiner
United States Department of Commerce

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100